

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/716,118	11/18/2003	Takayuki Yajima	848075/0061	6090	
29619 SCHULTERO	7590 11/04/200 OTH & ZABEL LLP	EXAMINER			
ATTN: JOEL	E. LUTZKER	MA, CALVIN			
919 THIRD A' NEW YORK,			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2629		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			11/04/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/716,118	YAJIMA, TAKAYUKI		
Examiner	Art Unit		
CALVIN C. MA	2629		

	CALVIN C. MA	2629			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 21 October 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.			
 M The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appendor for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	the same day as filing a Notice of A replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar i, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request		
	of the final rejection				
a) X The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on; (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In					
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (iter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection	n.		
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(1		FINOT REFET WAS FIT	LED WITHIN TWO		
Extensions of firm may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as		
NOTICE OF APPEAL					
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS 	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the			
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to 	out prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered be	cause		
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further cor			cause		
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below		,,			
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or		lucing or simplifying t	ne issues for		
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally reje	cted claims.			
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	1. See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).		
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 					
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	owable if submitted in a separate, t	imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the		
7. \(\times \) For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) I how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		be entered and an e	xplanation of		
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-24.26 and 27</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	l and/or appellant fail e 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a).		
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after er	itry is below or attach	ed.		
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been consideration because:	ered but does NOT place the applic	ation in condition for a	allowance		
See Continuation Sheet.					
12 Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)				

13. Other: ____ .

/Chanh Nguyen/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2629

/Calvin C Ma/ Examiner, Art Unit 2629

PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The applicant's argument regarding the prior art Finke-Anlauff has been considered but is not persuasive because Finke. Anlauff in figures 1 and 8 clearly shows that the mobile phone device has a menu system which allow different application to be selected by the user and that the control system controlling the display change is also linked to the mail control processor, this means that the system is selecting from a plurality of state of display based on the feedback made by the user of the mobile phone, therefore the limitation of claim 1 still read on the prior art in its broadest interpretation.